IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of: Nathalie VAST et al.

Art Unit: 1785

Application Number: 10/541,202 Examiner: Tamra L. Amakwe

Filed: May 10, 2006 Confirmation Number: 7646

For: SHEET WITH AN ANTICOPYING, REDUCED OPACITY AREA

Attorney Docket Number: **052737**

Customer Number: 38834

October 1, 2010

PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.181 FOR REVIEW OF RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.144

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants petition the Director under 37 C.F.R. §1.181 and 37 C.F.R. §1.144 to review and withdraw the requirement for restriction made final by the Examiner in the Office Action dated October 1, 2009. The restriction requirement was initially made in the Office Action dated June 23, 2009. Reconsideration of the restriction requirement was requested by Applicants in the response filed on July 20, 2009. Applicants petition for such withdrawal because the requisite criteria for a proper restriction requirement have not been met, and in addition, the criteria for rejoinder have been met, as these criteria are set forth in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP).

Claims 1-25 are pending in the present application. Claims 4-10 and 13-20 are withdrawn from consideration following the restriction requirement.

Application No.: 10/541,202

Art Unit: 1785

Attorney Docket No.: 052737

However, present claim 4 is formally dependent on elected claim 1, and claims 1 and 4

are specifically subcombination B^{sp} and combination AB^{sp}, this relationship being explicit by

way of the claim dependency. Accordingly, restriction is not appropriate (see MPEP

806.05(c)(I)). The other withdrawn claims are dependent directly or indirectly on claim 4.

In particular, this application is a US national stage of an international (PCT) application,

so "unity of invention" applies. Claim 4 dependent on claim 1 includes all the limitations of

claim 1, so claim 1 and claim 4 have at least these "common special technical features" that

define "unity of invention" under PCT Rule 13.1 (see MPEP 1893.03(d)). Therefore, restriction

is improper and should be withdrawn.

Further, even if, arguendo, restriction had been properly made on June 23, 2009 (which is

denied as set forth above), the Examiner must rejoin and examine claims that comply with "unity

of invention." Specifically, MPEP 821.04(a) states (emphasis added):

When all claims to the nonelected invention(s) depend from or

otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable claim,

applicant must be advised that claims drawn to the nonelected

invention have been rejoined and the restriction requirement has

been withdrawn."

Thus, withdrawn claim 4 which is dependent on claim 1, as well as the other withdrawn claims

which are dependent directly or indirectly on claim 4, should be rejoined and examined in this

application.

- 2 -

Petition under 37 C.F.R. §§1.181 and 1.144

Application No.: 10/541,202

Art Unit: 1785

Attorney Docket No.: 052737

Conclusion

In conclusion, maintaining the restriction requirement would be contrary to Patent Office

rules and practice, and unfair to Applicant, as it would entail additional costs of a divisional

application to have the withdrawn claims considered. It would also be inefficient for the Patent

Office since examination of the divisional would substantially duplicate the already-performed

examination in this application.

In view of the above, it is requested that the restriction requirement be withdrawn and all

pending claims considered together in this application.

If this paper is not considered to be timely filed, the Applicants hereby petition for an

appropriate extension of the response period. Please charge the fee for such extension and any

other fees which may be required to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

/nicolas seckel/

Nicolas E. Seckel

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 44,373

Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

NES/rep

- 3 -